PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Laura & Rudolph Schoewe

DOCKET NO.: 03-27838.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 12-23-229-031

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Laura & Rudolph Schoewe, the appellants, by attorney Donald T. Rubin with the law firm of Rubin & Norris in Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 4,688 square foot parcel of land containing a 40-year old, two-story, masonry, mixed use building with a storefront on the first level and apartments on the second. The improvement contains 4,232 square feet of living area, three baths, and a partial, unfinished basement. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptions of four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Black and white photographs of the subject property and the suggested comparables and a brief from the appellant's attorney were also submitted. The data in its entirety reflects that the properties are located within the subject's neighborhood and are improved with a two-story, masonry, mixed-use or multi-family building with between two and

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the <u>Cook</u> County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,100 IMPR.: \$ 41,499 TOTAL: \$ 46,599

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/0742JBV

six apartment units. In addition, two properties contain one or two commercial units, two properties contain a full or partial, unfinished basement; and three properties contain air conditioning. The properties range: in age from 43 to 49 years; in size from 5,068 to 6,764 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$5.03 to \$8.40 per square foot of living area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment was \$41,499, or \$9.81 per square feet of living area. The board also submitted copies of the property characteristic printouts for the subject as well as three suggested comparables located within three blocks of the subject. The board's properties contain a two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling with three apartment units, three or five baths and a full, finished basement. The improvements range: in age from 41 to 46 years; in size from 3,270 to 4,758 square feet of living area and in improvement assessments from \$10.40 to \$11.57 per square foot of living area. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the evidence and reviewing the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Appellants who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill. 2d 1, 544 N.E.2d 762 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent of assessment inequities within the assessment Proof of assessment inequity should include jurisdiction. assessment data and documentation establishing the physical, locational, and jurisdictional similarities of the suggested comparables to the subject property. Property Tax Appeal Board Rule 1910.65(b). Mathematical equality in the assessment process is not required. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395, 169 N.E.2d 769 (1960). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the appellant has met this burden and that a reduction is warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 and the board of review's comparable #1 are the most similar to the subject. These three comparables contain a two-story, masonry, mixed-use or multi-family dwelling located within the subject's neighborhood. The improvements range: in age from 46

Docket No. 03-27838.001-R-1

to 49 years; in size from 4,758 to 5,286 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$7.36 to \$10.40 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$9.81 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. The PTAB accorded less weight to the board of review's remaining comparables due to a disparity in size.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB further finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

Docket No. 03-27838.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

${\color{red} \textbf{C}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{E}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{R}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{T}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{I}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{F}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{I}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{C}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{A}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{T}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{I}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{O}} \ {\color{blue} \textbf{N}}$

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 14, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No. 03-27838.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\underline{\text{PETITION}}$ AND $\underline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$ WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.